18 July 1978

## THE ETHICS OF GOVERNMENT SECRECY

Panel Discussion

Thursday evening, 27 July

## Miscellaneous Points

- Ideally, no secrecy in a democracy
  - Government by the people infers awareness/knowledge
  - Secrecy antithetical to idea of participatory government.
- But idealism must always be tempered with realism
  - Not a compromise just an acceptance of life as it is not as we wish it were
  - Individuals can sometimes delude themselves with idea that life does not have to be accepted, but
  - Government is forced to be realistic, i.e., distinguish between what is
    what should be
    what is possible
  - In society where most notions are closed/secretive, some secrecy on part of U.S. Government is vital to survival.
- Therefore secrecy some amount, at some times is ethical because it is in the interests of society
  - Infers that too much secrecy can be unethical probably true
  - Too much secrecy can breed/cloak dishonesty, immorality, actions not in best interest of society.

- Where balance? How to recognize?
  - Difficult no one's judgment perfect
  - Steps to ensure judgment is as good as possible:
    - 1. Guidelines lean toward openness. Test: Is secret in long term good of society?
    - 2. Moral representatives/appointees public officials must be extended some public trust
    - 3. Responsible oversight.